

Respondent

Complainant

#### Sh. Manjit Singh (9914100429)

s/o Sh. Kartar Singh, Quarter No.113, New Police Colony, Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar

#### **Remanded Back**

#### (Regd. Post) First Appellate Authority (By Name) O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar

Encl. RTI application

#### Complaint case No.: 227 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Complainant: Sh. Manjit Singh Respondent-absent

#### ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 16.12.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 22.4.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing the complainant is present and states that information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor did he file reply in this regard in-spite being registered notice issued to him by the Commission, which is not received back to the Commission as per the case file.

After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

#### Complaint case No.: 227 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

Dated: 09.08.2022



#### Sh. Sukhapal Singh (8427745786)

s/o Sh. Paramveer Singh, Vill. Aluna Tola, Tehsil Payal, PO Aluna Puhla, Distt. Ludhiana 141414

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Govt. ITI, Malerkotla

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Technical Education & Indl. Training Pb., Chandigarh

#### Appeal Case No.: 2182 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present: Appellant - absent Respondent-Sh. Kalicharan

#### ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 22.12.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 10.2.2022 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 5.5.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- In today's hearing, respondent states that they have sent twice the information on 28.1.2022 & 6.4.2022 by registered post.
- **3.** The court enquires from the respondent whether the appellant has pointed out any deficiency in the supplied information or any written submission. He replies that no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till date. He adds that a copy of reply along with supplied information had also been sent to the Commission dated 01.08.2022 via an email, which is received and taken on record.
- **4.** The appellant is absent in spite being registered notice issued to him and no correspondence is received from him by the Commission as per the case file.
- 5. In wake of above, the Commission considers that the appellant has received the information and he is satisfied with the supplied information and he has nothing to say in this regard. Therefore, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022



**Sh. Navreet Singh Gill (819682146)** s/o Sh. Anokh Singh, Village Alawalpur, Tehsil & Distt. Gurdaspur

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Hoshiarpur

#### Complaint case No.: 258 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Complainant: Sh. Navreet Singh Gill Respondent-Sh. Surinder Bhandari (PIO)

ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 7.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 4.5.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The complainant states that he has received the information but late. He further adds that instructions be issued to the respondent department, be particular in future and information be given in time.
- 4. After hearing the complainant, the court directs the respondent PIO to provide the reply/information as per stipulated period as per RTI Act, 2005 so that objectives of the Act may be achieved.
- The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

 In-spite of being a complaint case, respondent PIO supplied information. Therefore, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant complaint case is disposed of & closed. Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Respondent



Complainant

**Sh. Jarnail Singh (819682146)** s/o Sh. Anokh Singh, Village Alawalpur, Tehsil & Distt. Gurdaspur

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o DTO, Hoshiarpur

Complaint case No.: 260 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX Respondent

Present:

Complainant-absent :Respondent - Sh. Surinder Bhandari,

### ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 25.1.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 5.5.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing complainant is absent and the respondent is present.
- 3. The respondent states the information asked for as per RTI application has already been provided to the 20.6.2022. Therefore, the department did not receive any discrepancies in the supplied information from the complainant till date.
- 4. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

6. In-spite of being a complaint case, respondent PIO supplied information. Therefore, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 9.08.2022



Appellant

#### **Sh. Deepak Kumar(7508060043/9646256896)** s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar,

Vill. Gopalpura, PO Kathunangal, Distt. Amritsar 143502.

Versus

Public Information OfficerO/o Amritsar College of Engineering& Technology(Amritsar Group of Colleges), Amritsar

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Amritsar College of Engineering & Technology(Amritsar Group of Colleges), Amritsar

### Appeal Case No.: 2091 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present: Appellant: Sh. Deepak Kumar Respondent - Sh. Anil Wadhwa

### ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 19.7.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 24.8.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 27.4.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The respondent states that the reply as per RTI application has already been supplied to the appellant. A reply vide letter no. AGC/Reg/2022/07/416 dated 29.07.2022 is also sent to the Commission vide diary no. 16935 dated 01.08.2022, which is considered and taken on record.
- 4. Appellant states that he is not satisfied with the supplied information.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that supplied reply/information is sufficient and nothing is left o be supplied as per RTI Act. Therefore, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022



Sh. Naresh Kumar(9464522190) s/o Sh. Manohar Lal,

H.No.2190, Sector-44-C, Chandigarh.

**Public Information Officer** O/o Pb. State Board of Technical Education & Indl. Training, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Pb. State Board of Technical Education & Indl. Training, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 2125 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Versus

Respondent

Present: Appellant: Sh. Naresh Kumar Respondent – Ms. Rajni Mahajan, Dy. Director

ORDER:

- The RTI application is dated 6.1.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 7.3.2022 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.4.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- **3.** The respondent states that the reply/information as per RTI application has already been supplied personally by hand to the appellant on 6.6.2022, which the appellant confirms.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 9.08.2022



Sh. Naresh Kumar(9464522190) s/o Sh. Manohar Lal, H.No.2190, Sector-44-C, Chandigarh.

Versus

Through CISCO WEBEX

Public Information Officer O/o Pb. State Board of Technical Education & Indl. Training, Chandigarh

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Pb. State Board of Technical Education & Indl. Training, Chandigarh Appeal Case No.: 2127 of 2022

Respondent

#### Present:

Appellant: Sh. Naresh Kumar Respondent – Ms. Rajni, Dy. Director

#### ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 6.1.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 7.3.2022 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.4.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- **3.** The respondent states that the reply/information as per RTI application has already been supplied to the appellant, which the appellant confirms.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 9.08.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

### Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, Distt. Ropar

#### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, State Transport Pb, , Sector 17, Chandigarh

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, State Transport Pb, Chandigarh

#### Appeal Case No.: 2548 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Versus

Respondent

# Present:

Appellant: Sh. Manjit Singh Respondent – Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO)

### ORDER

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 12.5.2022 vide the appellant was advised to go through the information received from the respondent and point out the ambiguity, if any. The case was adjourned to 12.7.2022. The hearing for 12.7.2022 was postponed and fixed for 9.8.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The appellant states that as per directions of the court he had pointed out the deficiencies with regard to point No.3,6,7 to the respondent which are still to be removed.
- 4. After discussing with both the parties and examining the material on record, respondent assures that she will remove deficiency as the appellant pointed out during the hearing. On the assurance of the respondent PIO, no further cause of action is required in this case at present along with directions to the respondent PIO for the removal of the deficiencies within a period of 7 days.
- **5.** In wake of above, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 9.08.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Appellant

## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>

Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha (9814228629) # 30 Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar

**Public Information Officer** O/o MC, Jalandhar

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar

## Appeal Case No.: 863 of 2021

Versus

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Appellant: Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha Respondent: Sh. Bhupinder Singh (9815896096)

ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 30.6.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 5.7.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.2.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 9.8.2022 but was postponed for 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- **3.** The appellant pleads before the court that sufficient time has gone he has not received the sought for information as per RTI application as above.
- 4. The respondent states that they have sent reply to him on 11.7.2022 and a copy of the same is also sent to the Commission on 11.07.2022 via an email, which is received by the undersigned Bench and taken on record.
- 5. As the information stands supplied and no deficiency had been pointed out by the appellant in the supplied information till date, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

PUNJab State Information

Appellant

Respondent



**Sh. Harmit Singh Reporter(9878691567)** c/o Baba Bakala Finance Company, Phul Road, Rampura Phul, Bathinda

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 1994 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:	Appellant-absent
	Respondent – Sh. Gurmeet Singh (Clerk)

ORDER

- 1. Refer earlier dated 26.5.2022 vide which the respondent was directed to coordinate with the appellant and supply the information. The case was adjourned to 12.7.2022 and further postponed to 9.8.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing the appellant is absent but the respondent is present.
- 3. The respondent states that they have forwarded the information to the appellant on 30.5.2022 by registered post.
- 4. On asking by the Bench, respondent intimates that no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till date, which means he has nothing to say in this regard and he is not serious about the present case as he failed to represent case today.
- In wake of above, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed. Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 9.08.2022



Appellant

### Sh. Roshan Kumar Panda(9915133214)

H.No.2, Street No.4, Sadhunagar, Dera Bassi Distt. Mohali

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Desh Bhagat University Mandi Gobindgarh

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Desh Bhagat University Mandi Gobindgarh

Appeal case No.: 825 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: None present.

Respondent

### ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 17.11.20221 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.2.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 19.07.2022, which was postponed and fixed for 9.8.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant is absent in spite of registered post notices issued to him. A call was made to him by the undersigned Bench in this regard, which means he is not interested in the present case as there is no correspondence is received from him after filing the case in the Commission as per the case file.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the notices issued by the Commission. He is advised to comply with the notices/orders of the Commission in near future.
- **4.** In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

### Dated: 09.08.2022



**Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate (9041004313)** Civil Court Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur 144410.

**Public Information Officer** O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigaarh.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigaarh

#### Appeal Case No.: 4844 of 2021 Through CISCO Webex

Versus

**Present:** Appellant: Absent.

Respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO)

### ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 07.06.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.09.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 19.07.2022 which was postponed and fixed for today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur states that requisite information has been sent to the appellant on 08.08.2022, which is quite obvious not received by the appellant.
- 3. Appellant is not present for today's hearing in spite being aware about the date of hearing. A call was also made to him in this regard by the undersigned Bench, which means he is not interested in the present case.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Respondent



Respondent

**Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate (9041004313)** Civil Court Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur 144410.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

### Appeal Case No.: 4706 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

### Present: Appellant : Sh. Tejinder Singh Respondent: Sh. Neeraj

ORDER

- The RTI application is dated 29.05.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 06.07.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 19.07.2022 which was postponed and fixed for today.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant is not present for today's hearing in spite being aware about the date of hearing. A call was also made to him in this regard by the undersigned Bench, which means he is not interested in the present case.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Neeraj states that requisite information has been sent to the appellant today through registered post.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 09.08.2022



**Sh. Deepak Kumar (7508060043)** s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, Vill. Gopalpura, PO Kathunangal, Distt. Amritsar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Registrar, IKG Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, IKG Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 4136 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Appellant: Sh. Naresh Kumar Respondent – Ms. Rajni, Dy. Director

### ORDER

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.03.2022 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 12.07.2022 postponed and fixed for today i.e. 09.08.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present.
- 3. Respondent, Ms. Rajni states that information in connection with Action Taken, which had already been supplied and nothing is left to be supplied. A copy of the reply dated 04.08.2022 is sent to the Commission via an email dated 04.08.2022, which is received by the undersigned Bench and taken on record.
- 4. In wake of above, it is observed that sufficient information had already been supplied. Therefore, no further course of action required in the present case. Hence, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 09.08.2022